

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/995,031	11/29/2001	Ricky Amos	YOR920010633US1	9669	
23389	7590 10/16/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	OTT MURPHY & PI	LANDAU, M	LANDAU, MATTHEW C		
SUITE 300	CITTTEALA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
GARDEN CIT	ΓY, NY 11530		2815		

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/995,03	1	AMOS ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Matthew La	andau	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Extendanter: - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL is is on time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. y period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice under the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice of the closed in the closed	☑ This action is no allowance except	for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7-11 and 13-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7-11 and 13-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers			•			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Extra drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b)[n to the drawing(s) be correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attach	Wa\						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/995,031

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-11, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Talwar et al. (US Pat. 6,300,208, hereinafter Talwar) in view of Huang et al. (US Pat. 6, 248,673, hereinafter Huang).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 5, 7-11, and 14-16, Figure 2H of Talwar discloses a MOSFET comprising: a semi-conducting substrate 4 (n or p-type silicon) (col. 4, lines 39-41 and col. 5, lines 6-10) having source and drain regions (5 and 6); a gate dielectric layer 8 made of SiO₂ (col. 5, lines 14-16); and a gate 9 formed of Re (col. 5, lines 46-50) on top of said gate dielectric. A difference between Talwar and the claimed invention is the gate dielectric has a thickness of less than 50 angstroms. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Talwar by using a thickness within the claimed range, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Talwar in the manner described above for the purpose of increasing the integration density (by forming smaller devices). A further difference between Talwar and the claimed invention is the gate has an

Application/Control Number: 09/995,031

Art Unit: 2815

interface trapped charge density of about 3E 10 cm⁻² eV⁻¹ to about 4E 10 cm⁻² eV⁻¹. Huang discloses annealing a MOSFET in a hydrogen environment at a temperature of about 350 ° C at a pressure of about 700 torr (col. 8, lines 21-46 of Huang). In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to further modify the invention of Talwar by using the hydrogen anneal process of Huang for the purpose of stabilizing interface states and trapped charges (col. 8, lines 54-57 of Huang). After performing the hydrogen anneal taught by Huang, it is inherent that the trapped charge density will be about 3E 10 cm⁻² eV⁻¹ to about 4E 10 cm⁻² eV⁻¹.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-11, and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maria et al. (US PGPub 2001/0032995, hereinafter Maria) in view of Talwar and Huang.

Regarding claims 1, 2, 4, 8-11, 13, 15, and 16, Figure 4 of Maria discloses a MOSFET device comprising: a semi-conducting substrate 16 (silicon) having source and drain regions (12 and 14); a gate dielectric layer 20" (20a/20b) (silicate of La₂O₃) of less than 50 angstroms thickness (see page 3, paragraph [0033]) on said semi-conducting substrate 16; and a gate 22 formed of Pt (see page 3, paragraph [0034]) on top of said dielectric layer 20". The difference between Maria and the claimed invention is the gate electrode comprises Re. Figure 2H of Talwar discloses a MOSFET device comprising a gate electrode 9 made of Re or Pt (col. 5, lines 46-50). In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Maria by using Re as the gate electrode for the purpose of selecting an equivalent material that is known in the art to be used for the same

Application/Control Number: 09/995,031 Page 4

Art Unit: 2815

purpose (see MPEP 2144.06). A further difference between Maria and the claimed invention is the gate has an interface trapped charge density of about 3E 10 cm⁻² eV⁻¹ to about 4E 10 cm⁻² eV

1. Huang discloses annealing a MOSFET in a hydrogen environment at a temperature of about

350 ° C at a pressure of about 700 torr (col. 8, lines 21-46 of Huang). In view of such teaching,

it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to further

modify the invention of Maria by using the hydrogen anneal process of Huang for the purpose of

stabilizing interface states and trapped charges (col. 8, lines 54-57 of Huang). After performing

the hydrogen anneal taught by Huang, it is inherent that the trapped charge density will be about

3E 10 cm⁻² eV⁻¹ to about 4E 10 cm⁻² eV⁻¹.

Regarding claims 7 and 14, Figure 4 of Maria discloses the semi-conducting substrate 16 is n-type or p-type. It is inherent to have a doped substrate (n-type or p-type) in order to create a

channel region below the gate.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 10 have been considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2815

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew C. Landau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1731.

The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should any questions arise regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 15, 2006